

Item 36.**Parking - No Parking - Ridge Lane, Surry Hills**

TRIM Container No.: 2023/180765

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Committee endorse the reallocation of parking on the southern side of Ridge Lane, Surry Hills between the points 13 metres and 19 metres (one car space) east of Ridge Place as "No Parking".

Voting Members for this Item

Voting Members	Support	Object
City of Sydney	[Insert]	[Insert]
Transport for NSW	[Insert]	[Insert]
NSW Police – Surry Hills PAC	[Insert]	[Insert]
Representative for the Member for Sydney	[Insert]	[Insert]

Advice

Advice will be updated after the meeting.

Background

A resident of Ridge Street, Surry Hills has requested consideration of a "No Parking" restriction in Ridge Lane to improve access to their rear-lane off street parking.

Comments

Ridge Lane is approximately 4.4 metres wide and provides rear-lane property access to houses fronting Ridge and Cleveland Streets.

A section of kerb space on the southern side of Ridge Lane east of Ridge Place, where the changes are proposed, is currently a white chevron road marking. However, the chevron is not detouring illegal parking, so the resident is requesting that the chevron be replaced with 'No Parking' signs and for it to be slightly extended to provide better access to the driveway.

The NSW Road Rules 2014 prohibit drivers from parking at any time across a driveway, or in any other way which blocks access to a driveway. In narrow streets like Ridge Lane, car parking opposite driveways obstruct or prevent vehicles from entering or exiting these driveways. Section 6 of the Roads Act 1993 gives adjoining land owners a right of vehicles access to the public road.

The Transport for New South Wales (TfNSW) no longer supports the installation of white chevron road marking to stop vehicles from parking across or opposite driveways. Therefore, the City is proposing to replace the existing white chevron road marking with "No Parking" restrictions to improve rear-lane property access in Ridge Lane.

Consultation

The City consulted local residents and businesses in the area. There were 64 letters sent out with no responses supporting or opposing the proposal.

Financial

Funds are available in the current budget.

MICHAEL RIMAC, ENGINEERING TRAFFIC OFFICER